Advanced Analysis Supports Pioneers in Poultry Health Management

Project Duration: 5 weeks

Role: Advanced Data Analysis

Project Overview

Our team was engaged by a client in the animal health sector to independently assess the effectiveness of a novel non-antibiotic feed additive intended to reduce Salmonella in poultry. Multiple in-vivo trials were conducted using different formulations of the product under commercial-like conditions. We analyzed the resulting data—drawn from thousands of animal samples—to determine how effectively this solution could reduce Salmonella colonization during a typical 42-day poultry growth cycle.

Challenges

Complex Trial Designs

- The project included three distinct trials, each with its own timeline, sample collection schedule, and treatment protocols.
- The dataset encompassed several thousand data points spanning multiple Salmonella strains (S. Heidelberg and S. Enteritidis) and formulations of the product.

Hidden Pathogens

- A large number of samples showed zero detectable Salmonella using routine plating methods. However, further enrichment tests revealed “hidden” Salmonella, presenting a challenge in accurately determining true infection rates.

Formulation Variability

- The product was tested in liquid, coated, and other variations, each with potentially different points of action (i.e., different sections of the gut). Accurately comparing their impacts across various infection loads was vital in guiding future product development.

Contact: Dr. Andre Moreira // a.moreira@lyfx.ai // +49 175 668 6688

Solutions Implemented

Data Consolidation and Meta-Analysis

- We merged data from all three trials into a single, comprehensive dataset, enabling robust statistical analysis.
- Python-based scripts were used to clean, process, and aggregate data, ensuring consistency across variables like Salmonella strain type, sample organ, and day of growth.

Advanced Detection Insights

- To address “hidden” Salmonella, we included enrichment results in our analytical models. By distinguishing between truly negative samples and those merely below the plating detection limit, we uncovered nuanced patterns of pathogen clearance.

Comparative Efficacy Evaluation

- We systematically compared different product formulations (liquid, coated, etc.) against control groups.
- Statistical methods were employed to confirm the significance of observed reductions in bacterial counts, ensuring that any reported differences reflected genuine efficacy rather than chance.

Results

Rapid and Significant Reduction in Salmonella

- Across all trials, poultry receiving the feed additive displayed a substantial decrease in Salmonella counts—commonly exceeding a 2-log reduction.
- Treated birds reached a low or non-detectable bacterial load approximately 20 days earlier than those left untreated, a major advantage within a 42-day commercial growth cycle.

High-Risk Scenarios

- The product proved especially potent in areas or conditions with higher Salmonella concentrations. This highlights its potential use as a targeted intervention in farms with persistent infection challenges.
- Untreated flocks often exhibited “hidden Salmonella” that only became evident after enrichment tests, potentially carrying pathogens through to processing stages. In contrast, most treated birds were shown to be genuinely clear of the pathogen, reducing the risk of contamination down the line.

Optimal Formulation

- Among multiple tested formulations, the coated version consistently delivered the fastest and most pronounced Salmonella reduction. This provides a roadmap for selecting and refining product formats for real-world applications.

This non-antibiotic feed additive demonstrates exceptional promise in safeguarding poultry health. Our findings offered actionable guidance on optimal treatment regimens and formulations, ensuring that poultry operations can more effectively control and prevent pathogen spread without relying on antibiotics.